

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/727,633 12/01/2000		Katsumi Yoshizawa	450100-02891	4183	
20999	7590	06/28/2004		EXAMINER	
FROMMEI	R LAWR	RENCE & HAUG	DURAN, ARTHUR D		
745 FIFTH A	AVENUE	E- 10TH FL.			
NEW YORK, NY 10151				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3622	

DATE MAILED: 06/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		//					
Office Action Summary	09/727,633 Examiner	YOSHIZAWA, KATSUMI Art Unit					
,		3622					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	Arthur Duran pears on the cover sheet with the c	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\					
Period for Reply	,						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 A	April 2004.						
	_ ' ' - ' - ' - ' - ' - ' - ' - ' - ' -						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)	awn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	• •					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	, ,					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ts have been received. ts have been received in Application prity documents have been receive nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)	" □	(DTO 142)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 3622

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 9-17 have been examined.

Response to Amendment

2. The Amendment filed on 4/22/04 is sufficient to overcome the Kanter, Fredregill, and Hoffman reference.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 9, 10, 12-14, 16, 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kanter (5,537,314) in view of Postrel (6,594,640).

Claim 9, 10, 12-14, 16, 17: Kanter discloses an information processing apparatus, method for processing information concerning electronic commerce in which a customer receiving services offered by a service provider obtains points in accordance with the amount of money having been paid to said service provider, said information processing apparatus comprising: first communication controlling means for controlling data communication with another information processing apparatus via a first network (Fig. 1);

Art Unit: 3622

first recording means for recording information on a plurality of the service providers and information on a plurality of the customers receiving services from said plurality of service providers (Fig. 1);

second communication controlling means for controlling data communication with a financial institution information processing apparatus via a second network (Fig. 1);

payment computing means for computing an amount of money to be refunded to said customer in accordance with the number of the points said customer owns (col 4, lines 59-67; col 7, lines 5-7); and

signal generating means for generating a signal that requests said financial institution information processing apparatus having an account of said customer to transfer a predetermined amount of money to the account of said customer (col 4, lines 59-67, col 7, lines 5-7), wherein: said first communication controlling means controls communication in which a signal corresponding to the amount of money computed by said payment computing means is sent to an information processing apparatus owned by said customer and a signal corresponding to a desired amount of transfer money is received from the information processing apparatus owned by said customer (col 4, lines 59-67; col 7, lines 5-7); and said second communication controlling means controls communication in which the signal

generated by said signal generating means is sent to said financial institution information processing apparatus and a signal representing completion of processing by said financial institution information processing apparatus is received (col 4, lines 59-67; col 7, lines 5-7; col 22, lines 20-50; col 22, line 60-col 23, line 2; col 23, lines 25-45; col 27, lines 40-50).

Art Unit: 3622

Kanter further discloses key generating means for generating an encryption key for encrypting and decoding communication data (col 17, lines 53-60; col 17, lines 62-67; col 18, line 65-col 19, line 2; col 23, lines 45-55).

Kanter further discloses authenticating means for authenticating said other information processing apparatus with which communication is controlled by said first communication controlling means (col 17, lines 53-60; col 17, lines 62-67; col 18, line 65-col 19, line 2; col 23, lines 45-55).

Kanter further discloses receiving means for receiving a point redemption request for redeeming points owned by said customer for money, said point redemption request including information on the number of points which is desired to be redeemed for money from among the total points owned by said customer (col 4, lines 59-67; col 7, lines 5-7); transferring means for transferring, to an account of said customer, an amount of money corresponding to the desired number of points in the information included in said point redemption request from among the total points (col 4, lines 59-67); and updating means for updating the total points corresponding to said customer (col 24, lines 6-18).

Kanter does not explicitly disclose updating means for updating the total points corresponding to said customer by subtracting the desired number of points in the information included in said point redemption request from the total points owned by said customer.

Since Kanter discloses the customer having a total points and the customer redeeming the points for cash, it is obvious that Kanter would balance the account of the customer after a points redemption.

Art Unit: 3622

Kanter does not explicitly disclose e-commerce over an open network.

However, Postrel discloses e-commerce over an open network (Fig. 4; Fig. 5; col 5, lines 31-36; col 1, lines 13-17; col 1, lines 50-53).

Postrel further discloses a point issuing system and point redemption system which are separate from the plurality of service providers (Fig. 5).

Postrel further discloses that all points control, transfer, redemption, tracking services, can be performed by a server or service separate from the user or merchant (Fig. 4; Fig. 5).

Postrel further discloses authenticating the customer (col 11, line 61-col 12, line 1; col 6, lines 3-7).

Postrel further disclose updating means for updating the total points corresponding to said customer by subtracting the desired number of points in the information included in said point redemption request from the total points owned by said customer (col 5, lines 50-60; col 1, lines 13-17).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add Postrel's utilization of merchant's accessible over the Internet to Kanter's utilization of multiple merchants. One would have been motivated to do this in order to allow Kanter's users to access a wider range of merchants.

4. Claim 11, 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kanter (5,537,314) in view of Postrel (6,594,640) in further view of Hoffman (6,012,039).

Claim 11, 15: Kanter and Postrel disclose an information processing apparatus according to Claim 9, 14. Kanter further discloses wherein said authenticating means authenticates said

Art Unit: 3622

other information processing apparatus based on a unique identifier thereof which is received under the control of said first communication controlling means and which is issued from an authority connected to said first network (col 17, lines 53-60; col 17, lines 62-67; col 18, line 65-col 19, line 2; col 23, lines 45-55).

Kanter does not explicitly disclose that said authenticating means authenticates based on a certificate issued from a predetermined certificate authority connected to said first network.

However, Hoffman discloses authenticating means authenticates based on a certificate issued from a predetermined certificate authority connected to said first network (col 10, lines 43-55; col 13, lines 10-20; col 14, lines 60-70; col 7, lines 65-67).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add Hoffman's utilization of digital certificates over to Kanter's authenticated transmissions. One would have been motivated to do this because digital certificates are one standard for authenticated transmissions that is popular in the industry.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 9-17 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Examiner notes that while specific references were made to the prior art, it is actually also the prior art in its entirety that is being referred to.

Additionally, as noted in the rejection above, Postrel discloses e-commerce over an open network (Fig. 4; Fig. 5; col 5, lines 31-36; col 1, lines 13-17; col 1, lines 50-53).

Art Unit: 3622

Postrel further discloses a point issuing system and point redemption system which are separate from the plurality of service providers (Fig. 5).

Postrel further discloses that all points control, transfer, redemption, tracking services, can be performed by a server or service separate from the user or merchant (Fig. 4; Fig. 5).

Postrel further discloses authenticating the customer (col 11, line 61-col 12, line 1; col 6, lines 3-7).

Postrel further disclose updating means for updating the total points corresponding to said customer (col 5, lines 50-60; col 1, lines 13-17).

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3622

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arthur Duran whose telephone number is (703)305-4687. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon- Fri, 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric Stamber can be reached on (703)305-8469. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

000

6/21/04

JAMES W. MYHRE RIMARY EXAMINER